

# A revised list of nationally scarce bryophytes

C.D. Preston

*Biological Records Centre, CEH Monks Wood, Abbots Ripton, Huntingdon, PE28 2LS*

## Introduction

The categories 'nationally rare' and 'nationally scarce' have been used for some years in Britain as a way of identifying the most uncommon plants. Nationally rare taxa are those present in 1-15 10-km grid squares and nationally scarce taxa are those present in 16-100 10-km grid squares. For bryophytes the current list (presented at [www.jncc.gov.uk](http://www.jncc.gov.uk)) is based on the *Atlas of the bryophytes of Britain and Ireland* (Hill, Preston & Smith, 1992-94), and refers to grid square totals for native or long-established species and subspecies in Britain (v.-c. 1-112) from 1950 onwards.

For vascular plants, at least, nationally rare plants were formerly regarded as synonymous with those on the 'red list' and were treated in Red Data Books (e.g. Perring & Farrell, 1977, 1983). Nationally scarce vascular plants had an equivalent publication (Stewart, Pearman & Preston, 1994). Nowadays, red listing is carried out on the basis of threat rather than rarity, using IUCN threat criteria (Palmer *et al.*, 1997), an approach adopted in part by Wigginton (1999) for vascular plants and Church *et al.* (2001) for bryophytes. I say 'in part' as by and large these authors were only able to assess nationally rare and some nationally scarce plants for inclusion in these works, so that there was an initial filter on rarity followed by an assessment of threat (Palmer, 2006). More recently, all flowering plant taxa were assessed for a

thorough revision of the red list<sup>1</sup> (Cheffings & Farrell, 2005), which therefore includes as threatened some widespread but declining species such as *Chrysanthemum segetum* and *Scleranthus annuus*. A similar revision of the bryophyte list is in preparation.

Although 'nationally rare' and 'nationally scarce' species are no longer used for red listing, the categories are still useful in other contexts. In particular, they help highlight the special plants of an area, a rather different concept to that of threatened species. They are routinely included in both vascular plant and bryophyte rare plant registers (e.g. Humphreys & Woods, 2001; Bosanquet & Rhind, 2004) and have been used in local floras to highlight important bryophyte areas (e.g. Wigginton, 1995). They are used in the selection criteria for biological Sites of Special Scientific Interest (Hodgetts, 1992) and are often cited in descriptions of individual nature reserves.

There has clearly been much recording since the 1992-94 *Atlas of the bryophytes of Britain and Ireland*, and the number of bryophyte records in the BBS database at the Biological Records Centre (BRC) has increased from the 770,000 or so on which the *Atlas* maps were based to over 1.1 million at the end of 2005. As a result, some species formerly thought to be nationally scarce are now known from too many 10-km squares

---

<sup>1</sup> Cheffings & Farrell (2005) also alter the meaning of the term 'red list', using it to include all taxa assessed against IUCN criteria, but the earlier meaning, which restricts the term to threatened species, is retained here.

to qualify for this designation. The BBS Recording and Conservation Committee therefore asked me to revise the list of nationally scarce bryophytes to exclude these species. I have also taken the opportunity to add some species that were formerly thought to be nationally rare but which are now known to occur in more than 15 10-km squares.

The revised list of nationally scarce species is presented as Annex 1 to this paper.

### Species to be excluded from the list

The following species were formerly known from 16-100 10-km squares but are now recorded in more than 100 squares. They should therefore be deleted from the list of nationally scarce species. The *Atlas* totals in the following table take into account some corrections to the database since publication; the current total is based on records in the BRC database at the end of 2005.

	<i>Atlas</i> total	Current total	
	(10-km squares)	10-km squares	% of <i>Atlas</i> total
<b>Hornworts and liverworts</b>			
<i>Anthoceros agrestis</i>	92	115	125
<i>Anthoceros punctatus</i>	92	124	135
<i>Barbilophozia atlantica</i>	80	101	126
<i>Cololejeunea minutissima</i>	99	182	184
<i>Colura calyptrifolia</i>	90	125	139
<i>Diplophyllum obtusifolium</i>	86	117	136
<i>Kurzia sylvatica</i>	90	108	120
<i>Marsupella sprucei</i>	100	111	111
<i>Plagiobhila britannica</i>	83	103	124
<i>Porella obtusata</i>	94	107	114
<i>Riccia subbifurca</i>	62	101	163
<b>Mosses</b>			
<i>Brachythecium mildeanum</i>	75	151	201
<i>Bryum donianum</i>	95	129	136
<i>Campylopus gracilis</i>	99	104	105
<i>Didymodon nicholsonii</i>	78	202	259
<i>Kiaeria blyttii</i>	94	109	116
<i>Leucobryum juniperoides</i>	76	105	138
<i>Microbryum floerkeanum</i>	62	101	163
<i>Orthotrichum sprucei</i>	81	102	126
<i>Rhabdoweisia crenulata</i>	98	103	105
<i>Seligeria calcarea</i>	91	113	124
<i>Seligeria donniana</i>	97	103	106
<i>Tortula protobryoides</i>	98	128	131
<i>Tortula viridifolia</i>	94	109	116

The 11 liverworts represent 13% of the 82 plants on the existing list of nationally scarce taxa and the 13 mosses represent 8% of the 172 moss taxa. The deletions include relatively few species that have been recognised only recently (*Plagiobhila britannica* is the obvious example) or that now have more records as recent taxonomic clarifications have been taken into account by the recording community (e.g. *Brachythecium mildeanum*, *Leucobryum juniperoideum*). Many are simply somewhat inconspicuous species that

were close to the upper limit for nationally scarce species in the *Atlas*. There is good evidence that *Colura calyptrifolia* has increased in recent years (Bosanquet, 2004) and the same may well be true of *Didymodon nicholsonii* (Bates, 1995).

There are seven species on the list that are still known from fewer than 101 10-km squares, but are so clearly under-recorded that I suggest they should not be treated as nationally scarce. These are listed in the table below.

	<i>Atlas</i> total	Current total	
	(10-km squares)	10-km squares	% of <i>Atlas</i> total
<b>Mosses</b>			
<i>Bryum pallescens</i>	54	96	178
<i>Racomitrium affine</i>	33	81	245
<i>Racomitrium elongatum</i>	66	87	132
<i>Racomitrium sudeticum</i>	45	82	182
<i>Sphagnum angustifolium</i>	38	58	153
<i>Sphagnum flexuosum</i>	47	98	209
<i>Syntrichia virescens</i>	52	79	152

The number of records of *Bryum pallescens* has increased greatly as its preference for metal-polluted sites has become widely known. *Syntrichia virescens* is also under-recorded, and, like *Didymodon nicholsonii*, appears to be increasing on tarmac paths (Adams, 2005). The *Racomitrium* and *Sphagnum* species are recently recognised segregates and we have not yet got an adequate picture of their distribution in Britain.

*Lophozia longiflora* has been deleted from the nationally scarce list as it was previously over-recorded and is much rarer than was previously thought.

### Additions to the list

Some species were formerly considered to be nationally rare but should now be included in

the nationally scarce list. This not only includes species that were formerly known from 15 or fewer 10-km squares, but also several species that were known from more than 15 10-km squares at the time of the *Atlas*, but were labelled 'nationally rare' for other reasons. First, as explained above, 'nationally rare' was then considered synonymous with the 'red list', and so *Acrobolbus wilsonii*, for example, was included because the international importance of the British population outweighed the fact that it had been recorded in more than 15 10-km squares from 1950 onwards. Secondly, several species are on international lists of protected species (Habitats Directive, Bern Convention), and so had to be included in the list irrespective of their frequency in Britain.

List of nationally scarce bryophytes

	<i>Atlas</i> total	Current total	
	(10-km squares)	10-km squares	% of <i>Atlas</i> total
<b>Hornworts and liverworts</b>			
<i>Acrobolbus wilsonii</i>	17	26	153
<i>Cephalozia nicholsonii</i>	14	16	114
<i>Cephalozia turneri</i>	21	24	114
<i>Fossombronia maritima</i>	11	16	145
<i>Lophozia capitata</i>	12	17	142
<i>Pallavicinia lyellii</i>	16	27	169
<i>Petalophyllum ralfsii</i>	18	29	161
<i>Radula voluta</i>	24	28	117
<i>Riccia huebeneriana</i>	14	22	157
<i>Sphaerocarpos texanus</i>	14	26	186
<b>Mosses</b>			
<i>Andreaea nivalis</i>	15	16	107
<i>Atrichum angustatum</i>	18	17	94
<i>Bryum creberrimum</i>	14	16	114
<i>Bryum dixonii</i>	15	20	133
<i>Bryum warneum</i>	16	16	100
<i>Dicranum bergeri</i>	16	17	106
<i>Dicranum spurium</i>	43	44	102
<i>Didymodon tomaculosus</i>	6	19	317
<i>Ephemerum sessile</i>	16	28	175
<i>Grimmia ovalis</i>	13	37	285
<i>Habrodon perpusillus</i>	15	16	107
<i>Hamatocaulis vernicosus</i>	70	71	101
<i>Myrinia pulvinata</i>	19	23	121
<i>Sphagnum lindbergii</i>	16	17	106
<i>Splachnum vasculosum</i>	19	21	111
<i>Syntrichia princeps</i>	15	16	107
<i>Tortella densa</i>	15	21	140
<i>Tortula wilsonii</i>	20	20	100
<i>Weissia condensa</i>	13	16	123
<i>Weissia rostellata</i>	17	35	206
<i>Weissia squarrosa</i>	10	22	220
<i>Weissia sterilis</i>	26	34	131

*Dichodontium flavescens* also qualifies for inclusion but it is classed as Data Deficient in the Red

Data Book (Church *et al.*, 2001) and should be excluded as under-recorded.

The 10 liverworts added to the nationally scarce list more or less balance the number deleted, but the 22 mosses added greatly outnumber the 13 which are now too frequent to qualify.

### Borderline cases

The following species are now known from 91-100 10-km squares. They are retained in the nationally scarce list.

	<i>Atlas</i> total	Current total	
	(10-km squares)	10-km squares	% of <i>Atlas</i> total
<b>Hornworts and liverworts</b>			
<i>Adelanthus decipiens</i>	98	100	102
<i>Cephalozia catenulata</i>	90	98	109
<i>Haplomitrium hookeri</i>	90	98	109
<i>Nardia geoscyphus</i>	96	99	103
<i>Riccardia incurvata</i>	86	95	110
<i>Scapania aequiloba</i>	86	97	113
<b>Mosses</b>			
<i>Bartramia halleriana</i>	91	96	105
<i>Campylophyllum calcareum</i>	85	99	116
<i>Pohlia lescuriana</i>	70	99	141
<i>Seligeria pusilla</i>	90	99	110

### Discussion

This is only a partial revision to the list of nationally scarce species. A complete revision, which might well involve a change to a later cut-off date than 1950 for the 10-km square totals, will doubtless follow the completion of the current BBS project to revise the *Atlas of the bryophytes of Britain and Ireland*. I have not attempted to include species added to the British list since the *Atlas* was published. Some of these will undoubtedly qualify as nationally scarce, but it seems best to allow a period for the accumulation of records before their distribution is assessed.

The *Atlas* database was more or less completed by 1990, so the turnover in species identified in this paper has taken place in the last 15 years. Viewed in this light, it does not appear to be excessive. The deletions correct some obvious

anomalies. Bosanquet & Rhind (2004) question the appropriateness of nationally scarce status for at least six species. Five of these are deleted here (*Kurzia sylvatica*, *Brachythecium mildeanum*, *Bryum pallescens*, *Leucobryum juniperoideum* and *Tortula viridifolia*) but the sixth, *Cephalozia pleniceps*, shows an increase from 40 to just 50 10-km squares.

Some of the deletions reflect the increased number of records of arable bryophytes resulting from the BBS Survey of the Bryophytes of Arable Land (SBAL), and it might be argued that this disproportionate attention to one habitat has biased the list. However, the SBAL survey can be regarded as partially correcting previous under-recording of species in a habitat which is much more widespread, but much less studied, than (say) oceanic woodlands.

This revision highlights the essentially arbitrary nature of the nationally scarce category. This

does not, in my view, make the concept any less useful for the purposes outlined above. There must be an argument for defining nationally rare and scarce species as the rarest  $x\%$  and  $y\%$  of British bryophytes rather than by absolute numbers of grid squares, although if some taxonomic groups (e.g. charophytes) have a larger proportion of rare species than others this would not be revealed by this method. Other, more sophisticated, methods are available (Gaston, 1994) but there is a lot to be said for simplicity in the definition of these widely used terms.

### Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Nick Hodgetts for supplying from the Threatened Bryophyte Database data on some of the species that were formerly nationally rare and are now nationally scarce, and to Sam Bosanquet and Chris Cheffings for their help in preparing this paper.

### References

- Adams K. 2005. Changes in the bryophyte flora of eastern England. *Field Bryology* **85**: 21-23.
- Bates JW. 1995. A bryophyte flora of Berkshire. *Journal of Bryology* **18**: 503-620.
- Bosanquet SDS. 2004. *Colura calyptrifolia* in Wales. *Field Bryology* **82**: 3-5.
- Bosanquet SDS, Rhind PM. 2004. *Pembrokeshire register of rare bryophytes*. Haverfordwest: privately published.
- Cheffings CM, Farrell L. 2005. *The vascular plant Red Data List for Great Britain*. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- Church JM, Hodgetts NG, Preston CD, Stewart NF. 2001. *British Red Data Books: mosses and liverworts*. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- Gaston KJ. 1994. *Rarity*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- Hill MO, Preston CD, Smith AJE. 1992-94. *Atlas of the bryophytes of Britain and Ireland*. 3 vols. Colchester: Harley Books.
- Hodgetts NG. 1992. *Guidelines for the selection of biological SSSIs: non-vascular plants*. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- Humphreys D, Woods R. 2001. *Radnorshire rare plant register June 2001*. Radnorshire Wildlife Trust.
- Palmer M. 2006. In defence of a 'hiccup'. *BSBI News* **101**: 32-33.
- Palmer MA, Hodgetts NG, Ing B, Stewart NF, Wigginton MJ. 1997. The application to the British

flora of the World Conservation Union's revised Red List criteria and the significance of Red Lists for species conservation. *Biological Conservation* **82**: 219-226.

- Perring FH, Farrell L. 1977. *British Red Data Books. 1. Vascular plants*. Lincoln: Society for Nature Conservation.
- Perring FH, Farrell L. 1983. *British Red Data Books. 1. Vascular plants*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Lincoln: Royal Society for Nature Conservation.
- Stewart A, Pearman DA, Preston CD. 1994. *Scarce plants in Britain*. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.
- Wigginton MJ. 1995. *Mosses and liverworts of North Lancashire*. Lancaster: Centre for North-west Regional Studies, Lancaster University.
- Wigginton MJ. 1999. *British Red Data Books: 1. Vascular plants*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Peterborough: Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

### Annex 1. Revised list of nationally scarce bryophytes.

#### Hornworts and liverworts

*Acrobolbus wilsonii*  
*Adelanthus decipiens*  
*Anastrophyllum donnianum*  
*Anastrophyllum hellerianum*  
*Anthelia juratzkiana*  
*Barbilophozia lycopodioides*  
*Bazzania pearsonii*  
*Calyptogeia azurea*  
*Calyptogeia integristipula*  
*Calyptogeia suecica*  
*Cephalozia catenulata*  
*Cephalozia loitlesbergeri*  
*Cephalozia macrostachya*  
*Cephalozia pleniceps*  
*Cephaloziella nicholsonii*  
*Cephaloziella spinigera*  
*Cephaloziella stellulifera*  
*Cephaloziella turneri*  
*Cladopodiella francisci*  
*Cololejeunea rossettiana*  
*Crypthothallus mirabilis*  
*Diplophyllum taxifolium*  
*Eremonotus myriocarpus*  
*Fossombronia angulosa*  
*Fossombronia caespitiformis*  
*Fossombronia foveolata*  
*Fossombronia busnotii*

*Fossombronia incurva*  
*Fossombronia maritima*  
*Haplomitrium hookeri*  
*Harpanthus flotovianus*  
*Jamesoniella autumnalis*  
*Jungermannia borealis*  
*Jungermannia confertissima*  
*Jungermannia subelliptica*  
*Leiocolea heterocolpos*  
*Leptoscyphus cuneifolius*  
*Lophozia capitata*  
*Lophozia longidens*  
*Lophozia obtusa*  
*Lophozia opacifolia*  
*Marsupella adusta*  
*Marsupella alpina*  
*Marsupella brevissima*  
*Marsupella sphacelata*  
*Marsupella stableri*  
*Mastigophora woodsii*  
*Moerckia blyttii*  
*Moerckia hibernica*  
*Nardia geoscyphus*  
*Odontoschisma elongatum*  
*Pallavicinia lyellii*  
*Pedinophyllum interruptum*  
*Petalophyllum ralfsii*  
*Plagiochila atlantica*  
*Plagiochila carringtonii*  
*Pleurocladula albescens*  
*Porella pinnata*  
*Radula voluta*  
*Riccardia incurvata*  
*Riccia beyrichiana*  
*Riccia cavernosa*  
*Riccia crozalsii*  
*Riccia huebeneriana*  
*Ricciocarpos natans*  
*Scapania aequiloba*  
*Scapania calcicola*  
*Scapania cuspiduligera*  
*Scapania degenii*  
*Scapania lingulata*  
*Scapania nimbose*  
*Scapania ornithopodioides*  
*Scapania uliginosa*  
*Sphaerocarpos michelii*

*Sphaerocarpos texanus*  
*Sphenolobopsis pearsonii*  
*Targionia hypophylla*  
*Tetralophozia setiformis*  
*Tritomaria exsecta*  
*Tritomaria polita*

## Mosses

*Abietinella abietina* var. *abietina*  
*Abietinella abietina* var. *histicosa*  
*Aloina ambigua*  
*Aloina brevisrostris*  
*Aloina rigida*  
*Amblyodon dealbatus*  
*Amblystegium confervoides*  
*Amblystegium humile*  
*Amphidium lapponicum*  
*Andreaea megistospora*  
*Andreaea mutabilis*  
*Andreaea nivalis*  
*Arctoa fulvella*  
*Atrichum angustatum*  
*Atrichum tenellum*  
*Aulacomnium turgidum*  
*Bartramia halleriana*  
*Brachydontium trichodes*  
*Brachythecium salebrosum*  
*Bryum creberrimum*  
*Bryum canariense*  
*Bryum dixonii*  
*Bryum elegans*  
*Bryum intermedium*  
*Bryum mildeanum*  
*Bryum riparium*  
*Bryum tenuisetum*  
*Bryum torquescens*  
*Bryum warneum*  
*Bryum weigelii*  
*Buxbaumia aphylla*  
*Campyliadelphus elodes*  
*Campylophyllum calcareum*  
*Campylopus pilifer*  
*Campylopus schimperi*  
*Campylopus setifolius*  
*Campylopus shawii*  
*Campylopus subulatus*

List of nationally scarce bryophytes

<i>Campylostelium saxicola</i>	<i>Habrodon perpusillus</i>
<i>Catoscopium nigratum</i>	<i>Hamatocaulis vernicosus</i>
<i>Cinclidium stygium</i>	<i>Hedwigia integrifolia</i>
<i>Conardia compacta</i>	<i>Herzogiella seligeri</i>
<i>Conostomum tetragonum</i>	<i>Herzogiella striatella</i>
<i>Coscinodon cribrosus</i>	<i>Hygrohypnum duriusculum</i>
<i>Cynodontium jeneri</i>	<i>Hylocomiastrum pyrenaicum</i>
<i>Dicranella crispa</i>	<i>Hypnum hamulosum</i>
<i>Dicranodontium asperulum</i>	<i>Hypnum imponens</i>
<i>Dicranodontium uncinatum</i>	<i>Isopterygiopsis muelleriana</i>
<i>Dicranoweisia crispula</i>	<i>Kiaeria falcata</i>
<i>Dicranum bergeri</i>	<i>Kiaeria glacialis</i>
<i>Dicranum flagellare</i>	<i>Kiaeria starkei</i>
<i>Dicranum polysetum</i>	<i>Leptobarbula berica</i>
<i>Dicranum spurium</i>	<i>Meesia uliginosa</i>
<i>Didymodon acutus</i>	<i>Mnium thomsonii</i>
<i>Didymodon australasiae</i> var. <i>umbrosus</i>	<i>Myrinia pulvinata</i>
<i>Didymodon tomaculosus</i>	<i>Myurella julacea</i>
<i>Disceium nudum</i>	<i>Myurium hochstetteri</i>
<i>Distichium inclinatum</i>	<i>Octodiceras fontanum</i>
<i>Ditrichum lineare</i>	<i>Oedipodium griffithianum</i>
<i>Ditrichum pusillum</i>	<i>Oncophorus virens</i>
<i>Ditrichum zonatum</i>	<i>Orthothecium rufescens</i>
<i>Drepanocladus sendtneri</i>	<i>Paraleptodontium recurvifolium</i>
<i>Encalypta alpina</i>	<i>Philonotis arnellii</i>
<i>Encalypta ciliata</i>	<i>Philonotis caespitosa</i>
<i>Encalypta rhabtocarpa</i>	<i>Philonotis rigida</i>
<i>Entosthodon muhlenbergii</i>	<i>Philonotis seriata</i>
<i>Ephemerum recurvifolium</i>	<i>Plagiopus oederianus</i>
<i>Ephemerum sessile</i>	<i>Plagiothecium cavifolium</i>
<i>Eurhynchium striatulum</i>	<i>Plagiothecium laetum</i>
<i>Fissidens limbatus</i>	<i>Plagiothecium platyphyllum</i>
<i>Fissidens polyphyllus</i>	<i>Platydictya jungermannioides</i>
<i>Fissidens rivularis</i>	<i>Platygyrium repens</i>
<i>Fissidens rufulus</i>	<i>Platyhypnidium alopecuroides</i>
<i>Glyphomitrium daviesii</i>	<i>Pleurochaete squarrosa</i>
<i>Grimmia atrata</i>	<i>Pohlia elongata</i> var. <i>polymorpha</i>
<i>Grimmia decipiens</i>	<i>Pohlia filum</i>
<i>Grimmia incurva</i>	<i>Pohlia flexuosa</i>
<i>Grimmia laevigata</i>	<i>Pohlia lescuriana</i>
<i>Grimmia lisae</i>	<i>Pohlia ludwigii</i>
<i>Grimmia longirostris</i>	<i>Pohlia prolifera</i>
<i>Grimmia montana</i>	<i>Polytrichastrum sexangulare</i>
<i>Grimmia orbicularis</i>	<i>Pottia starkeana</i>
<i>Grimmia ovalis</i>	<i>Pottiopsis caespitosa</i>
<i>Gymnostomum calcareum</i>	<i>Pseudobryum cinclidioides</i>
<i>Gymnostomum viridulum</i>	<i>Pseudocalliergon lycopodioides</i>



*Pseudocalliergon trifarium*  
*Pseudoleskea patens*  
*Pseudoleskeella catenulata*  
*Pterigynandrum filiforme*  
*Pterygoneurum ovatum*  
*Pylaisia polyantha*  
*Racomitrium canescens*  
*Rhizomnium magnifolium*  
*Rhynchostegiella curviseta*  
*Rhytidium rugosum*  
*Schistidium trichodon*  
*Seligeria acutifolia*  
*Seligeria pusilla*  
*Seligeria trifaria s.l.*  
*Sematophyllum micans*  
*Sphagnum affine*  
*Sphagnum austinii*  
*Sphagnum lindbergii*  
*Sphagnum platyphyllum*  
*Sphagnum pulchrum*

*Sphagnum subsecundum*  
*Splachnum vasculosum*  
*Syntrichia princeps*  
*Tetraplodon angustatus*  
*Thuidium recognitum*  
*Tomentypnum nitens*  
*Tortella densa*  
*Tortella inclinata*  
*Tortella inflexa*  
*Tortula atrovirens*  
*Tortula canescens*  
*Tortula wilsonii*  
*Trichostomum hibernicum*  
*Ulota calvescens*  
*Ulota coarctata*  
*Weissia condensa*  
*Weissia perssonii*  
*Weissia rostellata*  
*Weissia squarrosa*  
*Weissia sterilis*

---

# The Threatened Bryophyte Database: an update

N.G. Hodgetts

55 Norton Street, Grantham, Lincolnshire, NG31 6BX

Since its inception in 2003 (Hodgetts, 2003) the Threatened Bryophyte Database (TBDB) has become an integral part of bryological data collection and dissemination. Many BBS members have kindly and freely contributed records of target species, which are now actively informing bryophyte conservation initiatives. How is this happening? Since the original burst of activity, which involved checking and updating the entire Red Data Book database, I have been keeping the database as up-to-date as possible by adding records as they are sent in, as well as incorporating published records from

sources such as the new vice-county records and the 'rare and interesting' column in *Field Bryology*. The advantage of entering records sent directly from recorders is that they often contain much more information than the more synoptic data that usually comprise published records, e.g. information on population size, or a sketch map, or a photograph, all of which can be added to the Recorder 2002 database. This is all useful to conservation workers.

The TBDB is disseminated by sending a copy at intervals to the statutory nature country